```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
                     FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO
 3
4
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                    No. 1:21-CR-01082-WJ
 5
              Plaintiff,
                                    Pete V. Domenici U.S. Courthouse
6
                                    Albuquerque, New Mexico
         VS.
7
                                    Wednesday, November 10, 2021
    JASMINE WILLIAMS,
                                    10:00 A.M. MST
8
              Defendant.
                                    Zoom Videoconference
9
10
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                           SENTENCING HEARING
11
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM P. JOHNSON
                   CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
    APPEARANCES:
14
    For the Plaintiff:
                        SHANA B. LONG, ESQ.
                        UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
15
                        District of New Mexico
                        Post Office Box 607
16
                        Albuquerque, New Mexico
                                                   87103
17
    For the Defendant:
                        STEPHEN A. TAYLOR, ESQ.
                        FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
                        District of New Mexico
18
                        111 Lomas Blvd., NW, Suite 501
                        Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
19
20
    For the USPPS:
                        DANIELLA MARTINEZ
                        MARY K. LOUGHRAN, CRR, RPR, NM CCR #65
21
    Reported by:
                        United States Court Reporter
22
                        Phone: (505)348-2334
                        Email:
                                Mary_Loughran@nmd.uscourts.gov
23
         Proceedings reported by machine shorthand and transcript
24
25
    produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

```
1
                  USA v. WILLIAMS - 1:21-CR-01082-WJ
 2
                           SENTENCING HEARING
                                * * * * *
 3
 4
    (In Open Court at 10:07 A.M. MST)
 5
              THE COURT: Let me call United States vs. Jasmine
 6
    williams, 21-CR-1082. Would counsel enter their appearances,
 7
    please.
              MS. LONG: Good morning, Your Honor. Shana Long for
 8
    the United States.
 9
10
              MR. TAYLOR: Good morning, Your Honor. Stephen
11
    Taylor for Jasmine Williams.
12
              THE COURT: And Ms. Williams is present with you?
13
              MR. TAYLOR: Yes; I'm sorry. She's here present with
14
    me at my office.
15
              THE COURT: All right. I'll note for the record that
16
    there is a Waiver of Personal Presence form that's been signed,
17
    so I will make the finding that Ms. Williams consents to this
18
    matter proceeding by way of video conferencing.
19
              Mr. Taylor, was the Presentence Report reviewed with
20
    Ms. Williams?
21
              MR. TAYLOR: Yes, it was, and we have no objections
22
    to anything in the report, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: All right. The United States Supreme
24
    Court has held that the starting point for federal sentencing
25
    is for the Court to arrive at a correctly calculated guideline
```

sentence. So I will make the finding that Probation correctly calculated the Defendant's Offense Level to be 29, her Criminal History Category to be 2, and that's an advisory sentencing guideline range of 97 to 121 months.

Now, let me inquire of Ms. Long. There's a plea agreement, and this plea agreement includes a -- it's very, very beneficial to the Defendant. I'll note she qualifies under the First Step Act for the new safety valve provision, but this case did involve 14.62 net kilograms of actual methamphetamine. So Ms. Long, what's the United States' rationale for this plea agreement?

MS. LONG: Thank you, Your Honor. So, the United States would note that with the application of the two levels for the safety valve, that -- I understand that the sentencing guidelines have not yet been amended, so that would have to be accomplished through a downward variance. Ms. Williams in her plea agreement would not be then further seeking leave from the court for further reduction once the guidelines are properly adjusted, once we have an ability to do that at the Commission. So even though we're starting under the properly calculated guideline range, not taking into account the two levels, those two levels should factor into a downward variance which would form the basis of 78 to 97 months.

The agreement that the United States has reached with the Defendant obviously reflects a much lower sentence than

that, and I urge the Court to accept the plea agreement and sentence her accordingly.

The United States Attorney's Office has engaged in kind of a revamping of how we allocate our resources and who we proceed with in terms of a robust federal prosecution, and that is an acknowledgment of how extremely congested the federal court docket is, how much more violent local drug traffickers are with firearms, and really trying to adjust our resources when we are doing full-blown suppression litigation and trials on the most violent drug traffickers that are having an immediate impact on our community.

Based on that, the United States has set up a state plea program. Under that program, certain qualifying individuals are allowed to have the opportunity to go to state court and, under the program, they agree to a term of 18 months imprisonment. It's a 50% crime in the state, which results in a period of nine months, approximately, of custody.

Unfortunately, the plea program was getting off the ground at the same time the pandemic hit, and the state court, much like the federal court and the rest of society, largely came to a halt. So what ended up happening was, we didn't want to create a disparity between people who were being arrested during COVID and undermine the intent of the program with access to the state plea program.

So based on that, on a case-by-case basis, we evaluated if there were cases that we could dispose of federally, yet afford them a similar relief. So that is how we came up with a 13-month sentence. At 85%, it would be roughly equivalent to the nine months that other people are serving.

And I would note specifically as to this Defendant, I think she has some personal characteristics under 3553(e) that very much support this downward variance. She's the sole caregiver to a minor child, she lacks any significant criminal history, and she did provide a safety valve debrief that entitles her to safety valve. But also, in my view, in speaking with the agent, her debrief also further justifies why this consideration is warranted.

I would note, Your Honor, that by proceeding federally with this and her receiving the 13-month sentence, she did not bring any suppression litigation, which as Your Honor is very well aware has been very time-consuming for everyone, and really has accepted responsibility with minimal resources expended by any of the parties.

Finally, Your Honor, I would note that the 13-month sentence would be 851 eligible should this Defendant reoffend in a federal drug trafficking offense. That is something that we don't have available to us when we do the state plea program. So while I do not anticipate that this Defendant would reoffend, should she do so, she would be subject to

enhanced penalties pursuant to 851.

So Your Honor, for those reasons, we would ask that you accept the plea agreement and sentence her accordingly.

THE COURT: Did the plea agreement include a specific term of supervised release?

MS. LONG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Taylor.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor. I'd echo what Ms. Long reported to the Court. It seems like a fair resolution from Ms. Williams' standpoint. She was given the opportunity to do the state plea program, but Ms. Williams actually is from Columbus, Ohio, and so she would prefer to take the federal plea and possibly be placed in a BOP facility closer to home. That way, she could have closer access to her six-year-old daughter, who is turning seven in February.

Ms. Williams has struggled with opioid addiction for several years now, and it, of course, is rooted in childhood trauma that she is seeking treatment for as part of her disposition in this case. And so she wants to stay as close to home as possible. She wants to get treatment for her substance abuse and trauma needs. This is a young lady who in her life has already had three overdoses due to opioid use, and it's become an issue for her that has brought her here before the federal court. It's something that, you know, she hasn't been able to get control over in her life and something that she

wants to get control over, because she wants to be a good mother. She wants to be there for her daughter. She wants to be a stable and reliable caregiver. This is her number one goal.

She also has the goal to enroll in cosmetology school. She was actually enrolled in the program and she was supposed to begin the program in Columbus, Ohio, in May of 2021 when she was arrested. She was arrested in this case and she wasn't able to start that program. But that's still her goal. She wants to get a certificate so she can cut hair, do nails, and have a stable income, something that due to her drug use and her economic conditions, she's not been able to do in her life. She's not had stable employment, and that's been an issue for her ever since she was young. Now she's a parent and she needs to find stable employment for her child, and that way she could become independent and be a good mother.

So that's what she wanted the Court to know, is that she wants to get up on her own feet, get her own place, get full custody of her daughter back, and really start making progress in her life, and she knows that the key to do that is treatment.

THE COURT: Ms. Williams, is there anything that you want to state, ma'am?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I think he said that perfectly.

I couldn't have said it better myself. Those are basically

just all my goals, once I can put this behind me, to go ahead and make better decisions and, like he said, go to school and make a name for myself in a career that I actually enjoy, and take care of my daughter and set a better example for her. So everything that he said, I agree with.

THE COURT: In terms of -- I mean, the plea agreement confers a significant benefit for you, because even with the qualification under the First Step Act, the federal sentencing guidelines come down pretty harshly for the quantity of meth that you had on you. So you're going down further from 78 months incarceration to 13. And when you're in custody for the 13 months, have you made arrangements for who is going to be watching over your daughter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. So it's my mother, which thank God for her. That's who has my daughter now. She's been raising my daughter, which she would continue to do so.

THE COURT: Is the relationship between you and your mother good?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Especially now I'm sober, it's much better than it has been.

THE COURT: Okay. You know, hopefully -- first, I don't doubt at all that you love your daughter and you want to be there for her. Hopefully your daughter and your desire to be a really good mother will help be an additional incentive for you to stay away from the opioids and overcome this

addiction, because, again, I don't doubt at all that you love your daughter, but what happens is, if parents are struggling, the needs of the child -- you can't put the child's life on hold while the parents have to, you know, go through drug rehab and stuff. So it's very important not only for your sake, but for your daughter's sake, that you not fall off the wagon and get back into this opioid addiction.

THE DEFENDANT: Right, I agree.

THE COURT: And the other thing is, it seems like all your -- your only tie to the state of New Mexico is that you were apprehended here with the methamphetamine, right?

THE DEFENDANT: Right.

THE COURT: Well, your lawyer may have told you this, but I have no control over the federal probation there in Ohio. I think your case ought to be, once you're sentenced and as this case moves on, your case needs to be transferred to Ohio, and we can make that request, but it's up to the federal court in Ohio whether to accept your case. In some parts of the country, they do, and in some parts, they don't.

So while you'll be under supervision initially there in Ohio, if the federal court hasn't taken your case and there are violations and a warrant is issued for your arrest, then what will happen is, you'll be transported back to New Mexico. Did Mr. Taylor talk to you about that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, a little bit. Yeah.

10 Case 1:21-cr-01082-WJ Document 51 Filed 10/20/24 Page 10 of 17 1 THE COURT: Okay. So that's an additional incentive 2 for you to stay compliant and not get back into any of the 3 opioid stuff, because you don't want to be brought back to New 4 Mexico in custody and be out here when all your family ties and 5 your responsibilities are in Ohio. 6 THE DEFENDANT: Right. 7 THE COURT: I just wanted to make sure you're aware 8 of that. 9 So what I'll do, then, is I'll adopt the Presentence Report's factual findings. I've considered the sentencing 10 11 quidelines and the sentencing factors of 18 U.S.C. 12 3553(a)(1)-(7). The Offense Level is 29, the Criminal History **13** 

Category is 2. As I said earlier, that's generally an advisory sentencing guideline range of 97 to 121 months.

The Defendant is eligible under the First Step Act for a two-level reduction based on the changes in the law to the safety valve. Again, because of issues with the Sentencing Commission, what I'll do is, I will go ahead and I will depart -- or rather, I'll find that a variance equivalent of two levels is warranted. That reduces the guideline sentence to 78 to 97 months.

The parties entered into a Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement. I'll accept the plea agreement. It includes a specific sentence of 13 months. I'll find that the 13-month sentence confers a substantial benefit on the Defendant, in

14

**15** 

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 that it significantly reduces her sentencing exposure under the United States Sentencing Guidelines. I'll also make the 2 3 finding that the agreed sentence is justified in this case. 4 do note that this case involved a situation where the Defendant 5 was in possession, with the intent to distribute, 14.62 net kilograms of actual methamphetamine. 6 7 As to the information, the Defendant will be 8 committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 9 13 months, in accordance with the 11(c)(1)(c) plea agreement. 10 There is not an objection to voluntary surrender; is 11 that right, Ms. Long? MS. LONG: That's correct, Your Honor. 12 **13** THE COURT: All right. And then I'm assuming, 14 Ms. Martinez, the Defendant has been compliant with pretrial **15** conditions? 16 OFFICER MARTINEZ: Yes, that is correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. I'll authorize voluntary 17 18 surrender in this case. Mr. Taylor, since you-all are in your 19 office -- normally if we were in court over here, she would go 20 down to the Marshals Service and make the arrangements and fill 21 out the paperwork for voluntary surrender. Have you figured 22 out how to assist her in that regard? 23 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I spoke with the Marshals. 24 said that we would need to go over there after court today so

she could get processed.

25

1 THE COURT: Okay. And if you would, make sure she does that, because Ms. Williams, once you -- you're going to be 2 3 allowed voluntary surrender, but you've got to make sure you report when directed. Otherwise, you could be charged with an 4 5 additional offense. So I'll authorize voluntary surrender. Now, I'll also recommend that the Defendant be 6 7 designated to a BOP facility closest to -- is it Columbus, 8 Ohio? 9 That's correct, yes. MR. TAYLOR: 10 THE COURT: And that's what you're requesting? 11 MR. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor, that she be placed as 12 close to home as possible. **13** THE COURT: All right, I'll make that recommendation. 14 Normally I would also recommend that the Defendant be allowed **15** to participate in the Bureau of Prisons 500-hour drug and 16 alcohol treatment program, but typically a defendant needs 18 **17** months on a sentence to qualify for that. So she's not going 18 to have enough time. 19 I'll recommend that the Bureau of Prisons allow her 20 to participate in any kind of substance abuse treatment program 21 that's available considering the time she has on her sentence. 22 After service of the sentence, the Defendant is 23 placed on supervised release for a term of three years. She 24 must comply with mandatory and standard conditions.

In terms of special conditions, there's the

25

requirement that the Defendant participate in an outpatient substance abuse treatment program and follow the rules and regulations of the program. Since the Probation Office must supervise the Defendant's participation in outpatient substance abuse treatment, the Defendant must sign the necessary paperwork to allow the treatment provider to release treatment information to the Probation Office. The Probation Office may disclose the Presentence Report and any previous substance abuse evaluations or records to the treatment provider.

while under supervised release, the Defendant must submit to substance abuse testing to determine if she's used any prohibited substances. Testing shall not exceed more than sixty tests per year. The Defendant cannot obstruct or interfere with any of the testing methods or procedures.

The Defendant must not use or possess alcohol. The Defendant may be required to submit to alcohol testing. If alcohol testing is utilized, testing shall not exceed more than four tests per day. The Defendant cannot attempt to obstruct or tamper with any of the alcohol testing methods or procedures.

The Defendant must not knowingly purchase, possess, distribute, administer, or otherwise use any psychoactive substances, such as synthetic cannabinoids or synthetic cathinones. These conditions are all imposed based on the nature of the underlying offense and the Defendant's struggles

with substance abuse.

while under supervised release -- first, I'm not going to impose any kind of fine in this case based on the Defendant's lack of financial resources. In lieu of a fine, I'm going to impose a requirement that the Defendant complete sixty hours of community service during the three-year term of supervised release. The Defendant may choose the form of community service, but the Probation Office must approve the form of community service.

Additionally, if the Defendant obtains employment or is enrolled in some kind of educational or vocational program, and the time demands placed on her do not allow her to complete the community service, I'll authorize the Probation Office, or give the Probation Office the discretion to waive the community service requirement.

I'm also going to impose the requirement of an educational or vocational program that the Defendant be allowed to enroll and participate in. Since she has a desire to get the cosmetology certifications, then that educational or vocational training program could provide her assistance in that regard.

I do have to impose a Special Penalty Assessment of \$100, and I'm required to state that it's due immediately. And then finally, I will find pursuant to the plea agreement, the Defendant waives the right to appeal the final sentence.

1 Is there anything else in this case from the United 2 States? 3 MS. LONG: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Taylor, from you? 4 5 MR. TAYLOR: No, Your Honor. I think there is one 6 question, though, about when she will need to surrender. 7 THE COURT: Well, usually what happens is, it's 8 typically sixty days. But there can be a request for an 9 extension if there are underlying circumstances. But I would ask the Marshals Service about that. 10 11 MR. TAYLOR: And if she were to want to surrender as soon as tomorrow, I'm quessing nobody would object to that? 12 **13** THE COURT: No, I think that's an option, if she 14 wants to start. But if she -- she's probably eligible for a --**15** again, we're talking about Bureau of Prisons classifications, 16 but she may be eligible for a camp. If there's one out in **17** Ohio, then it may work to her advantage to surrender to the 18 camp instead of surrendering here and having to be transported. 19 But again, I would talk to the Marshals about that. 20 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. 21 THE COURT: Let me inquire of Probation, does she 22 need to report in today to go over her conditions? 23 OFFICER MARTINEZ: No, Your Honor, not at this time. 24 If she would just report to the Marshals after the sentencing 25 hearing.

```
1
              THE COURT: And then will Probation, I guess,
   initiate the documentation to allow the appropriate federal
 2
 3
    court in Ohio to supervise Ms. Williams?
              OFFICER MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor. In reference to
 4
 5
    that request, the Southern District of Ohio has accepted
 6
    supervision over the case. So once she has served her term,
    then we will initiate a transfer of jurisdiction.
 7
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's good. I appreciate
    you checking that out.
 9
10
              OFFICER MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Anything else on this case?
             MS. LONG: Not from the United States. Thank you,
12
13
    Your Honor.
14
              MR. TAYLOR: No, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Good luck to you,
16
    Ms. Williams.
17
              THE DEFENDANT: Thank you very much.
    (Proceedings adjourned at 10:32 A.M. MST)
18
                               * * * * *
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
                     FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO
 3
4
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 5
              Plaintiff,
6
                                   No. 1:21-CR-01082-WJ
         VS.
7
    JASMINE WILLIAMS,
                                    SENTENCING HEARING
8
              Defendant.
                                    ZOOM VIDEO-CONFERENCE
9
10
                 CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
11
         I, Mary K. Loughran, CRR, RPR, New Mexico CCR #65, Federal
12
    Realtime Official Court Reporter, in and for the United States
13
    District Court for the District of New Mexico, do hereby
14
    certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States
15
    Code, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
16
    the stenographically reported proceedings held in the
17
    above-entitled matter on Wednesday, November 10, 2021, and that
    the transcript page format is in conformance with the
18
19
    regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
20
    Dated this 20th day of October, 2024.
21
22
    MARY K. LOUGHRAN, CRR, RPR, NM CCR #65
23
    UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER
    333 Lomas Boulevard, Northwest
24
   Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
    Phone:
            (505) 348-2334
25
    Email:
            Mary_Loughran@nmd.uscourts.gov
```